MOLECULAR DESIGN BY CYCLOADDITION REACTIONS. X.<sup>1</sup> PHOTOCHEMICAL CROSS CYCLOADDITION OF 1,3-DIPHENYLISOBENZOFURAN TO CYCLOHEPTATRIENE

Tadashi Sasaki,<sup>\*</sup> Ken Kanematsu, and Kenji Hayakawa Institute of Applied Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464, Japan (Received in Japan 27 October 1973; received in UK for publication 10 December 1973)

A considerable interest has been shown in the singlet state complexes, especially as the intermediates of the photocycloadditions.<sup>2</sup>

1,3-Diphenylisobenzofuran has been known to be a strong fluorescent compound<sup>3</sup> and converted to the dimer by exposure to sunlight.<sup>4</sup> It is surprising that there is little study on the photochemical cross addition of 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (<u>1</u>), compared with a large number of its thermal cycloadditions.<sup>5</sup>

In the course of our studies on the cycloaddition reactions of cyclic conjugated trienes,<sup>6</sup> we report here the first example on the cross photocyclo-addition of  $\underline{1}$  to cycloheptatriene (2).

Irradiation of  $\underline{1}$  and excess  $\underline{2}$  in ethanol with a 100-W Hg lamp through a Pyrex filter yielded four 1:1 adducts  $\underline{4} - \underline{7}^7$  besides a known photodimer ( $\underline{3}$ ) of  $\underline{1}$  after the immediate disappearance of the strong fluorescence. Compound  $\underline{4}$ (exo[6+4]cycloadduct) was isolated in 16% yield: mp 181-183°; uv max (ethanol) 248 nm ( $\varepsilon$  3700); ir (KBr) 1000, 990, and 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>; nmr (CDC1<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.98 (m, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.11 (t, 2, J = 6.0 Hz, C=C-CH×2), 5.78 (m, 4, CH=CH×2), 7.02 (m, 4, ArH), and 7.45 ppm (m, 10, ArH). Catalytic hydrogenation of  $\underline{4}$  gave a tetrahydro derivative  $\underline{8}$ , mp 241-243°. Compound  $\underline{5}$  (endo[6+4]cycloadduct) was isolated in 20% yield: mp 250-252°; uv max (ethanol) 260 nm ( $\varepsilon$  5500); ir (KBr) 1000, 750, and 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; nmr (CDC1<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.30 (dd, 1, J = 12.0 and 2.0 Hz, anti-CH), 2.82 (ddd, 1, J = 12.0, 6.5, and 4.5 Hz, eyn-CH), 3.53 (t, 2, J = 6.5 Hz, C=C-CH×2),

343

5.13 (5-line pattern, 2, =CH-CH=),<sup>8</sup> 6.05 (m, 2, C=CH×2), 6.63 (m, 4, ArH), and The syn-proton at the bridge is remarkably deshielded 7.50 ppm (m, 10, ArH). by the oxygen bridge.<sup>9</sup> Catalytic hydrogenation of 5 gave a tetrahydro derivative 9, mp 233-235°. Compound 6 (endo[4+4]cycloadduct) was isolated in 14% yield: mp 180-182<sup>°</sup>; uv max (ethanol) 260 ( $\varepsilon$  1700) and 274 nm ( $\varepsilon$  1100); ir (KBr) 1020, 750, and 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; nmr (CDC1<sub>7</sub>)  $\delta$  2.30 (m, 2, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08 (m, 1, C=CCH), 3.50 (m, 1, (C=C)<sub>2</sub>CH), 5.39 (ddd, 1, J = 9.5, 7.5, and 2.0 Hz, H-2), 5.66 (ddd, 1, J = 9.5, 4.5, and 3.0 Hz, H-3), 5.68 (ddd, 1, J = 9.0, 6.0, and 2.0 Hz, H-6), 5.79 (ddd, 1, J = 9.0, 7.0, and 2.0 Hz, H-7),<sup>10</sup> and 7.35 ppm (m, 14, ArH). Catalytic hydrogenation of  $\underline{6}$  gave a tetrahydro derivative 10, mp 188-189<sup>0</sup>. Compound 7 (exo[4+4]cycloadduct) was isolated in 4% yield: mp 161-163<sup>0</sup>; uv max (ethanol) 260 ( $\varepsilon$  1100) and 278 nm ( $\varepsilon$  800); ir (KBr) 1000, 750, and 690 cm  $^{-1};$ nmr (CDC1<sub>z</sub>) δ 2.31 (m, 2, C≈CC<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 3.39 (m, 1, C=CC<u>H</u>), 3.82 (m, 1, (C=C)<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 5.21 (ddd, 1, J = 10.0, 4.5, and 3.0 Hz, H-3), 5.38 (ddd, 1, J = 10.0, 7.5, and 2.0 Hz, H-2), 5.81 (ddd, 1, J = 9.0, 7.5, and 2.0 Hz, H-6), 5.97 (ddd, 1, J = 9.0, 7.0, and 2.0 Hz, H-7), <sup>10</sup> and 7.30 ppm (m, 14, ArH).





Prolonged irradiation of each adduct under the same conditions resulted in no changes suggesting no interconversions of these adducts. While the possibility of the concerted [4+4] cycloaddition cannot be omitted, such results are readily understandable in terms of a two-step mechanism. Consideration of two possible geometries of the singlet biradical intermediates (EX and EN)<sup>2b</sup> that might arise in the reaction leads to the conclusion that intermediate EX can produce either <u>4</u> or <u>7</u> by a least-motion process and that intermediate <u>EN</u> can produce either 5 or <u>6</u>.



No formation of [4+2] adducts is presumed to be as a result of an energetically unfavored ring closure. This behavior is similar to that which we recently observed in the photochemical reaction of 2 with anthracene. Sensitized irradiation (benzophenone in benzene) sharply retarded the appearance of the adducts (4-7). Furthermore, the fluorescence of 1 was efficiently quenched by 2; the Stern-Volmer plot for the fluorescence quenching of 1 by 2 in ethanol showed the straight line, from which  $K_{\alpha}\tau_{s}$  was calculated to be 3.94  $M^{-1}$ . Thus, it seems plausible that some of the photochemical reactions could be initiated by the singlet exciplex formation between 1 and 2 followed by the Finally, dehydration of  $\underline{4}$  or  $\underline{5}$  by  $P_2S_5$  or stepwise *s*-bond formation. polyphosphoric acid gave a skeletal rearranged product (11): mp 194-195°; uv max (ethanol) 260 (ε 16900), 274 (sh, ε 14300), and 318 nm (ε 11650); ir (KBr) 3020, 760, 740, and 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>; nmr (CDC1<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.86 (d, 2, J = 6.0 Hz, C=CCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.83 (m, 1, C=CH), 6.10 (m, 1, C=CH), 6.72 (dd, 1, J = 11.0 and 2.0 Hz, C=CH), 7.02 (m, 1, C=CH), and 7.23 ppm (m, 14, ArH).<sup>11</sup> Interestingly, the formation of compound <u>11</u> might proceed through initial dehydration of <u>4</u> or <u>5</u> to give an intermediacy of a benzo-methano[10]annulene followed by a valence-bond

isomerization, which then rearranges by a thermal [1,5] shift to 11.



## **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- Part IX of this series, T. Sasaki, K. Kanematsu, A. Kakehi, and G. Ito, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I. 1973, 2089.
- 2) (a) N.C. Yang and J. Libman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>94</u>, 1405, 9228 (1972);
  (b) G. Kaupp, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 844 (1973); (c) E.A. Chandross and A.H. Schiebel, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>95</u>, 611 (1973); (d) H. Bouas-Laurent and A. Castellan, Chem. Commun., 1648 (1970); (e) T.S. Cantrell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>94</u>, 5929 (1972); (f) T. Sugioka, C. Pac, and H. Sakurai, Chem.Lett., 667, 791, (1972).
- 3) R. Adams and M.H Gold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>62</u>, 2038 (1940).
- 4) A. Guyot and J. Catel, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, <u>35</u>, 1124 (1906).
- 5) M.P. Cava and F.M. Scheel, J. Org. Chem., <u>32</u>, 1304 (1967) and references cited therein.
- 6) T. Sasaki, K. Kanematsu, and K. Hayakawa, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., <u>95</u>, 5632 (1973).
- 7) Satisfactory elemental analyses have been obtained for all new compounds.
- 8) R.C. Hahn and R.P. Johnson, Tetrahedron Lett., 2149 (1973).
- 9) L.M. Jackman and S. Sternhell, " Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry ", Pergamon Press. Oxford, London, 1969.
- 10) T. Tsuji, H. Ishitobi, and H. Tanida, Bull.Chem.Soc.Japan, <u>44</u>, 2447 (1971).
- 11) This nmr spectrum is very similar to that of 1,2-benzotropilidene; M. Pomerantz and G.W. Gruber, J. Org. Chem., <u>33</u>, 4501 (1968).
- 12) However, mechanistic interpretation of the rearranged product (<u>11</u>) should await more detailed studies.